arXiv:1412.3704v2 [math.NT] 14 Jan 2015

A class formula for L-series in positive characteristic

Florent Demeslay

January, 2015

Abstract

We prove a formula for special L-values of Anderson modules, analogue in positive characteristic of the class number formula. We apply this result to two kinds of L-series.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be a finite field with q elements and θ an indeterminate over $\mathbb{F}_q$. We denote by A the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ and by K the fraction field of A. For a finite A-module M, we denote by $[M]_A$ the monic generator of the Fitting ideal of M. The *Carlitz zeta value* at a positive integer n is defined as

$$\zeta_A(n) := \sum_{a \in A_+} \frac{1}{a^n} \in K_\infty := \mathbb{F}_q((\theta^{-1})),$$

where A_+ is the set of monic polynomials of A.

The *Carlitz module* C is the functor that associates to an A-algebra B the A-module $C(B)$ whose underlying $\mathbb{F}_q$-vector space is B and whose A-module structure is given by the homomorphism of $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebras

$$\varphi_C : \begin{array}{ccc} A & \to & \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B) \\ \theta & \mapsto & \theta + \tau \end{array},$$

where τ is the Frobenius endomorphism $b \mapsto b^q$. For P a prime of A (*i.e.* a monic irreducible polynomial), one can show (see [8, theorem 3.6.3]) that $[C(A/PA)]_A = P - 1$. Thus

$$\zeta_A(1) = \prod_{P \text{ prime}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{P}\right)^{-1} = \prod_{P \text{ prime}} \frac{[\mathrm{Lie}(C)(A/PA)]_A}{[C(A/PA)]_A}. \tag{1.1}$$

Recently, Taelman [10] associates, to a Drinfeld module ϕ over the ring of integers R of a finite extension of K, a finite A-module called the *class module* $H(\phi/R)$ and an L-series value $L(\phi/R)$. In particular, if ϕ is the Carlitz module and R is A, thanks to (1.1), we have

$$L(C/A) = \zeta_A(1).$$

These objects are related by a *class formula*: $L(\phi/R)$ is equal to the product of $[H(\phi/R)]_A$ times a regulator (see theorem 1 of loc. cit.).

This class formula was generalized by Fang [7], using the theory of shtukas and ideas of Vincent Lafforgue, to abelian t-modules over A, which are n-dimensional analogues of Drinfeld modules. In particular, for $\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}$, the n^{th} tensor power of the Carlitz module, introduced by Anderson and Thakur [2], we have

$$L(\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}/A) = \zeta_A(n)$$

and this is related to a class module and a regulator as in the work of Taelman.

On the other hand, Pellarin [9] introduced a new class of L-series. Let $t_1, \dots, t_s$ be indeterminates over $\mathbb{C}_\infty$, the completion of a fixed algebraic closure of K_∞. For each $1 \leq i \leq s$, let $\chi_{t_i} : A \to \mathbb{F}_q[t_1, \dots, t_s]$ be the $\mathbb{F}_q$-linear ring homomorphism defined by $\chi_{t_i}(\theta) = t_i$. Then, the *Pellarin's L-value* at a positive integer n is defined as

$$L(\chi_{t_1} \cdots \chi_{t_s}, n) := \sum_{a \in A_+} \frac{\chi_{t_1}(a) \cdots \chi_{t_s}(a)}{a^n} \in \mathbb{F}_q[t_1, \dots, t_s] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} K_\infty.$$

In this paper, inspired by ideas developed by Taelman in [10], we prove a class formula for abelian t-modules over $\mathbb{F}_q(t_1, \dots, t_s)[\theta]$. In particular, for $s = 0$, we recover theorem 1.10 of [7]. Then, we express Pellarin's L-values as a product of quotients of Fitting ideals in the manner of (1.1). Thus, we obtain a class formula for these L-values (see section 4.2.3). This result was already used by Anglès, Pellarin and Tavares Ribeiro [4] in the 1-dimensional case, *i.e.* for Drinfeld modules.

Finally, let $a \in A_+$ be squarefree and L be the cyclotomic field associated with a, *i.e.* the finite extension of K generated by the a-torsion of the Carlitz module. It is a Galois extension of group $\Delta_a \simeq (A/aA)^\times$. Let $\chi \colon (A/aA)^\times \to F^*$ be a homomorphism where F is a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$. The special value at a positive integer n of Goss L-series associated to χ is defined as

$$L(n, \chi) := \sum_{b \in A_+} \frac{\chi(\overline{b})}{b^n} \in F \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} K_\infty,$$

where $\overline{b}$ is the image of b in $(A/aA)^\times$. We can group all the $L(n, \chi)$ together in one equivariant L-value $L(n, \Delta_a)$. Then, we prove an equivariant class formula for these L-values (see theorem 4.15), generalizing that of Anglès and Taelman [5] in the case $n = 1$.

Acknowledgements

The author sincerely thanks Bruno Anglès, Lenny Taelman and Floric Tavares Ribeiro for fruitful discussions and useful remarks.

2 Anderson modules and class formula

2.1 Lattices

Let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the finite field with q elements and θ an indeterminate over $\mathbb{F}_q$. We denote by A the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_q[\theta]$ and by K the fraction field of A. Let ∞ be the unique place of K which is a pole of θ and v_∞ the discrete valuation of K corresponding to this place with the normalization $v_\infty(\theta) = -1$. The completion of K at ∞ is denoted by K_∞. We have $K_\infty = \mathbb{F}_q((\theta^{-1}))$. We denote by $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ a fixed completion of an algebraic closure of K_∞. The valuation on $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ that extends v_∞ is still denoted by v_∞.

Let $s \geq 0$ be an integer and $t_1, \dots, t_s$ indeterminates over $\mathbb{C}_\infty$. We set $k_s := \mathbb{F}_q(t_1, \dots, t_s)$, $R_s := k_s[\theta]$, $K_s := k_s(\theta)$ and $K_{s,\infty} := k_s((\theta^{-1}))$. For $f \in \mathbb{C}_\infty[t_1, \dots, t_s]$ a polynomial expanded as a finite sum

$$f = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_s \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_s} t_1^{i_1} \cdots t_s^{i_s},$$

with $\alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_s} \in \mathbb{C}_\infty$, we set

$$v_\infty(f) := \inf \left\{ v_\infty(\alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_s}) \mid i_1, \dots, i_s \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

For $f \in \mathbb{C}_\infty(t_1, \ldots, t_s)$, there exists g and h in $\mathbb{C}_\infty[t_1, \ldots, t_s]$ such that $f = g/h$, then we define $v_\infty(f) := v_\infty(g) - v_\infty(h)$. We easily check that v_∞ is a valuation, trivial on k_s, called the *Gauss valuation*. For $f \in \mathbb{C}_\infty[t_1, \ldots, t_s]$, we set $\|f\|_\infty := q^{-v_\infty(f)}$ if $f \neq 0$ and $\|0\|_\infty = 0$. The function $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is called the *Gauss norm*. We denote by $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$ the completion of $\mathbb{C}_\infty(t_1, \ldots, t_s)$ with respect to v_∞.

Let V be a finite dimensional $K_{s,\infty}$-vector space and $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on V compatible with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on $K_{s,\infty}$. For $r > 0$, we denote by $B(0, r) := \{v \in V \mid \|v\| < r\}$ the open ball of radius r, which is a k_s-subspace of V.

Definition. A sub-R_s-module M of V is an *R_s-lattice* of V if it is free of rank one and the $K_{s,\infty}$-vector space spanned by M is V.

We can characterize these lattices.

Lemma 2.1. *Let V be a $K_{s,\infty}$-vector space of dimension $n \geq 1$ and M be a sub-R_s-module of V. The following assertions are equivalent:*

1. *M is an R_s-lattice of V;*
2. *M is discrete in V and every open subspace of the k_s-vector space V/M is of finite co-dimension.*

Proof. Let us suppose that M is an R_s-lattice of V, *i.e.* there exists a family $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ of elements of M such that

$$M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} R_s e_i \quad \text{and} \quad V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} K_{s,\infty} e_i.$$

Any element v of V can be uniquely written as $v = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i e_i$ with $v_i \in K_{s,\infty}$. Then, we set $\|v\| := \max\{\|v_i\|_\infty \mid i = 1, \ldots, n\}$. Since R_s is discrete in $K_{s,\infty}$, this implies that M is discrete in V. Now, let $m \geq 0$ be an integer. We have

$$B\left(0, q^{-m}\right) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \theta^{-m-1} k_s[[\theta^{-1}]] e_i.$$

In particular, we have $V = M \oplus B(0, 1)$ and

$$\dim_{k_s} \frac{B(0, q^{-m})}{B(0, q^{-m-1})} = n.$$

This implies that every open k_s-subspace of V/M is of finite co-dimension.

Reciprocally, let us suppose that M is discrete in V and every open subspace of the k_s-vector space V/M is of finite co-dimension. Let W be the $K_{s,\infty}$-subspace of V generated by M and m be its dimension. There exist $e_1, \ldots, e_m$ in M such that

$$W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} K_{s,\infty} e_i.$$

Set

$$N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} R_s e_i.$$

This is a sub-R_s-module of M and an R_s-lattice of W. In particular, M/N is discrete in W/N. Since any open k_s-subspace of W/N is of finite co-dimension, we deduce that M/N is a finite dimensional k_s-vector space. This implies that M is a free R_s-module of rank m. Finally, observe that, if $m < n$, V/M can not verify the co-dimensional property, thus $W = V$. □

2.2 Anderson modules and exponential map

Let L be a finite extension of K, $L \subseteq \mathbb{C}_\infty$. We define $R_{L,s}$ to be the subring of $L_s := L(t_1, \dots, t_s)$ generated by k_s and $\mathcal{O}_L$, where $\mathcal{O}_L$ is the integral closure of A in L. We set $L_{s,\infty} := L \otimes_K K_{s,\infty}$. This is a finite dimensional $K_{s,\infty}$-vector space. We denote by $S_\infty(L)$ the set of places of L above ∞. For a place $\nu \in S_\infty(L)$, we denote by L_ν the completion of L with respect to ν. Let π_ν be a uniformizer of L_ν and $\mathbb{F}_\nu$ be the residue field of L_ν. Then, we define $L_{s,\nu} := \mathbb{F}_\nu(t_1, \dots, t_s)((\pi_\nu))$ viewed as a subfield of $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$. We have an isomorphism of $K_{s,\infty}$-algebras

$$L_{s,\infty} \simeq \prod_{\nu \in S_\infty(L)} L_{s,\nu}.$$

Observe that $R_{L,s}$ is an R_s-lattice in the $K_{s,\infty}$-vector space $L_{s,\infty}$.

Let $\tau \colon \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty} \to \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$ be the morphism of k_s-algebras given by the q-power map on $\mathbb{C}_\infty$.

Lemma 2.2. *The elements of $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$ fixed by τ are those of k_s.*

Proof. Obviously, $k_s \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^{\tau=1}$. Reciprocally, observe that $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^{\tau=1} \subseteq \{f \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty} \mid v_\infty(f) = 0\}$. But we have the direct sum of $\mathbb{F}_q[\tau]$-modules

$$\{f \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty} \mid v_\infty(f) \geq 0\} = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(t_1, \dots, t_s) \oplus \{f \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty} \mid v_\infty(f) > 0\}.$$

Since $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(t_1, \dots, t_s)^{\tau=1} = k_s$, we get the result. □

The action of τ on $L_{s,\infty} = L \otimes_K K_{s,\infty}$ is the diagonal one $\tau \otimes \tau$.

Definition. Let r be a positive integer. An *Anderson module* E over $R_{L,s}$ is a morphism of k_s-algebras

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \phi_E \colon & R_s & \longrightarrow & M_n(R_{L,s})\{\tau\} \\ & \theta & \longmapsto & \displaystyle\sum_{j=0}^{r} A_j \tau^j \end{array}$$

for some $A_0, \dots, A_r \in M_n(R_{L,s})$ such that $(A_0 - \theta I_n)^n = 0$.

These objects are usually called *abelian t-motives* as in the terminology of [1] but, to avoid confusion between t and the indeterminates $t_1, \dots, t_s$, we prefer called them Anderson modules. Note also that Drinfeld modules are one-dimensional Anderson modules.

For a matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty})$, we set $v_\infty(A) := \min_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \{v_\infty(a_{ij})\}$ and $\tau(A) := (\tau(a_{ij})) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty})$.

Proposition 2.3. *There exists a unique skew power series $\exp_{\mathrm{E}} := \sum_{j \geq 0} e_j \tau^j$ with coefficients in $M_n(L_s)$ such that*

1. *$e_0 = I_n$;*
2. *$\exp_{\mathrm{E}} A_0 = \phi_E(\theta) \exp_{\mathrm{E}}$ in $M_n(L_s)\{\{\tau\}\}$;*
3. *$\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{v_\infty(e_j)}{q^j} = +\infty$.*

Proof. See proposition 2.1.4 of [1]. □

Observe that $\exp_{\mathrm{E}}$ is locally isometric. Indeed, by the third point,

$$c := \sup_{j\geq 1}\left(\frac{-v_\infty(e_j)}{q^j-1}\right)$$

is finite. Then, for any $x \in L_{s,\infty}^n$ such that $v_\infty(x) > c$, we have

$$v_\infty\left(\sum_{j\geq 0} e_j\tau^j(x) - x\right) \geq \min_{j\geq 1}\left(v_\infty(e_j) + q^j v_\infty(x)\right) > v_\infty(x).$$

If B is an $R_{L,s}$-algebra, we denote by $E(B)$ the k_s-vector space B^n equipped with the structure of R_s-module induced by ϕ_E. We can also consider the tangent space $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(B)$ which is the k_s-vector space B^n whose R_s-module structure is given by the morphism of k_s-algebras

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \partial\colon & R_s & \longrightarrow & M_n(R_{L,s}) \\ & \theta & \longmapsto & A_0 \end{array}.$$

In particular, by the previous proposition, we get a continuous R_s-linear map

$$\exp_{\mathrm{E}}\colon \mathrm{Lie}(E)(L_{s,\infty}) \longrightarrow E(L_{s,\infty}).$$

2.3 The class formula

In this section, we define a class module and two lattices in order to state the main result.

Lemma 2.4.

1. $A_0^{q^n} = \theta^{q^n} I_n$;
2. $\inf\limits_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(v_\infty(A_0^j) + j\right)$ *is finite.*

Proof. See lemma 1.4 of [7]. □

By the second point, for any $a_j \in k_s$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, the series $\sum\limits_{j\geq m} a_j A_0^{-j}$ converges in $M_n(L_{s,\infty})$. Thus, ∂ can be uniquely extended to a morphism of k_s-algebras by

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \partial\colon & K_{s,\infty} & \longrightarrow & M_n(L_{s,\infty}) \\ & \sum\limits_{j\geq m} a_j \dfrac{1}{\theta^j} & \longmapsto & \sum\limits_{j\geq m} a_j A_0^{-j} \end{array},$$

where $a_j \in k_s$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(L_{s,\infty})$ inherits a $K_{s,\infty}$-vector space structure. Observe, by the first point of the lemma, that, for any $f \in k_s((\theta^{-q^n}))$, we have $\partial(f) = fI_n$, *i.e.* the action is the scalar multiplication for these elements. In particular, we get an isomorphism $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(L_{s,\infty}) \simeq L_{s,\infty}^n$ as $k_s((\theta^{-q^n}))$-modules. We deduce that $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(L_{s,\infty})$ is a $k_s((\theta^{-q^n}))$-vector space of dimension nq^n, so of dimension n over $K_{s,\infty}$.

Proposition 2.5. *The R_s-module* $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s})$ *is an R_s-lattice of* $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(L_{s,\infty})$. *Furthermore, if $L = K$, the canonical basis is an R_s-base of* $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_s)$.

Proof. By the first point of the previous lemma, $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s})$ and $R_{L,s}^n$ are isomorphic as $k_s[\theta^{q^n}]$-modules. Thus, $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s})$ is a finitely generated $k_s[\theta^{q^n}]$-module. On the other hand, the action of an element $a \in R_s$ is the left multiplication by $aI_n + N$ where N is a nilpotent matrix. Since $aI_n + N$ is an invertible matrix, $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s})$ is a torsion-free R_s-module. Moreover, the $k_s((\theta^{-q^n}))$-vector space generated by $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s})$ and $K_{s,\infty}$ is $L_{s,\infty}^n \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(E)(L_{s,\infty})$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s})$ is a free R_s-module of finite rank. Looking at the dimension as K_s-vector space, the rank is necessarily n.

For the second assertion, denote by e_i the i^{th} vector of the canonical basis. Firstly, we show that this family spans $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_s)$. We proceed by induction on $\max\limits_{1\leq i\leq n} \deg_\theta x_i$ where $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is in R_s^n. The case of degree 0 is trivial because the action of an element of k_s is the scalar multiplication. Now let m be a positive integer and $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a vector of R_s^n such that $\max\limits_{1\leq i\leq n} \deg_\theta x_i = m$. We can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \theta^m \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \zeta_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n$ are elements of k_s. Since we have $\partial_{\theta^m} = \theta^m I_n \mod \theta^{m-1}$, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \partial_{\zeta_1 \theta^m} e_1 + \cdots + \partial_{\zeta_n \theta^m} e_n \mod \theta^{m-1}.$$

Thus we obtain the spanning property by induction.

Finally, suppose that there exists $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ in R_s^n such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{a_i} e_i = 0.$$

Let $d := \max\limits_{1\leq i\leq n} \deg_\theta a_i$. Looking at the above equality modulo θ^d, since $\partial_{\theta^d} = \theta^d I_n \mod \theta^{d-1}$, we obtain that $a_i = 0$ if $\deg_\theta a_i = d$, thus necessarily all the a_i are zero, *i.e.* $e_1, \dots, e_n$ are linearly independent in $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_s)$. □

Proposition 2.6.

1. *Set*
$$H(E/R_{L,s}) := \frac{E(L_{s,\infty})}{\exp_{\mathrm{E}}(\mathrm{Lie}(E)(L_{s,\infty})) + E(R_{L,s})}.$$
This is a finite dimensional k_s-vector space, thus a finitely generated R_s-module and a torsion R_s-module, called the class module*.*

2. *The R_s-module $\exp_{\mathrm{E}}^{-1}(E(R_{L,s}))$ is an R_s-lattice in $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s})$.*

Proof. Let V be an open neighbourhood of 0 in $L_{s,\infty}^n$ on which $\exp_{\mathrm{E}}$ acts as an isometry and such that $\exp_{\mathrm{E}}(V) = V$. We have a natural surjection of k_s-vector spaces

$$\frac{L_{s,\infty}^n}{R_{L,s}^n + V} \twoheadrightarrow H(E/R_{L,s}).$$

By proposition 2.5, the left hand side is a finite dimensional k_s-vector space, hence *a fortiori* $H(E/R_{L,s})$ too.

Now, let us prove that $\exp_{\mathrm{E}}^{-1}(E(R_{L,s}))$ is an R_s-lattice in $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s})$. Since the kernel of $\exp_{\mathrm{E}}$ and $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s})$ are discrete in $\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s})$, so is $\exp_{\mathrm{E}}^{-1}(E(R_{L,s}))$. Let V be an open neighbourhood of 0 on which $\exp_{\mathrm{E}}$ is isometric and such that $\exp_{\mathrm{E}}(V) = V$. The exponential map induces a short exact sequence of k_s-vector spaces

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s})}{\exp_{\mathrm{E}}^{-1}(E(R_{L,s})) + V} \xrightarrow{\exp_{\mathrm{E}}} \frac{E(L_{s,\infty})}{E(R_{L,s}) + V} \longrightarrow H(E/R_{L,s}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since the two last k_s-vector spaces are of finite dimension, the first one is of finite dimension too; thus $\exp_{\mathrm{E}}^{-1}(E(R_{L,s}))$ satisfies the co-dimensional property. □

An element $f \in K_{s,\infty}$ is *monic* if

$$f = \frac{1}{\theta^m} + \sum_{i>m} x_i \frac{1}{\theta^i},$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x_i \in k_s$. For an R_s-module M which is a finite dimensional k_s-vector space, we denote by $[M]_{R_s}$ the monic generator of the Fitting ideal of M.

Let V be a finite dimensional $K_{s,\infty}$-vector space. Let M_1 and M_2 be two R_s-lattices in V. There exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ such that $\sigma(M_1) = M_2$. Then, we define $[M_1 : M_2]_{R_s}$ to be the unique monic representative of $k_s^\times \det \sigma$.

The aim of the next section is to prove a class formula *à la Taelman* for Anderson modules:

Theorem 2.7. *Let E be an Anderson module over $R_{L,s}$. The infinite product*

$$L(E/R_{L,s}) := \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{m} \text{ maximal} \\ \text{ideal of } \mathcal{O}_L}} \frac{[\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s}/\mathfrak{m}R_{L,s})]_{R_s}}{[E(R_{L,s}/\mathfrak{m}R_{L,s})]_{R_s}}$$

converges in $K_{s,\infty}$. Furthermore, we have

$$L(E/R_{L,s}) = [\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s}) : \exp_{\mathrm{E}}^{-1}(E(R_{L,s}))]_{R_s} [H(E/R_{L,s})]_{R_s}.$$

3 Proof of the class formula

The proof is very close to ideas developed by Taelman in [10] so we will only recall some statements and point out differencies.

3.1 Nuclear operators and determinants

Let k be a field and V a k-vector space equipped with a non-archimedean norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let φ be a continuous endomorphism of V. We say that φ is *locally contracting* if there exist an non empty open subspace $U \subseteq V$ and a real number $0 < c < 1$ such that $\|\varphi(u)\| \leq c\|u\|$ for all $u \in U$. Any such open subspace U which moreover satisfies $\varphi(U) \subseteq U$ is called a *nucleus* for φ. Observe that any finite collection of locally contracting endomorphisms of V has a common nucleus. Furthermore if φ and ϕ are locally contracting, then so are the sum $\varphi + \psi$ and the composition $\varphi\psi$.

For every positive integer N, we denote by $V[[Z]]/Z^N$ the $k[[Z]]/Z^N$-module $V \otimes_k k[[Z]]/Z^N$ and by $V[[Z]]$ the $k[[Z]]$-module $V[[Z]] := \varprojlim V[[Z]]/Z^N$ equipped with the limit topology. Observe that any continuous $k[[Z]]$-linear endomorphism $\Phi\colon V[[Z]] \to V[[Z]]$ is of the form

$$\Phi = \sum_{n \geq 0} \varphi_n Z^n,$$

where the φ_n are continuous endomorphisms of V. Similarly, any continuous $k[[Z]]/Z^n$-linear endomorphism of $V[[Z]]/Z^N$ is of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \varphi_n Z^n.$$

We say that the continuous $k[[Z]]$-linear endomorphism Φ of $V[[Z]]$ (resp. of $V[[Z]]/Z^N$) is *nuclear* if for all n (resp. for all $n < N$), the endomorphism φ_n of V is locally contracting.

From now on, we assume that for any open subspace U of V, the k-vector space V/U is of finite dimension.

Let Φ be a nuclear endomorphism of $V[[Z]]/Z^N$. Let U_1 and U_2 be common nuclei for the φ_n, $n < N$. Since Proposition 8 in [10] is valid in our context,

$$\det_{k[[Z]]/Z^N}\left(1 + \Phi \mid V/U_i \otimes_k k[[Z]]/Z^N\right) \in k[[Z]]/Z^N$$

is independent of $i \in \{1, 2\}$. We denote this determinant by

$$\det_{k[[Z]]/Z^N}(1 + \Phi \mid V).$$

If Φ is a nuclear endomorphism of $V[[Z]]$, then we denote by $\det_{k[[Z]]}(1 + \Phi \mid V)$ the unique power series that reduces to $\det_{k[[Z]]/Z^N}(1 + \Phi \mid V)$ modulo Z^N for every N.

Note that Proposition 9, Proposition 10, Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 of [10] are also valid in our context. We recall the statements for the convenience of the reader.

Proposition 3.1.

1. *Let Φ be a nuclear endomorphism of $V[[Z]]$. Let $W \subseteq V$ be a closed subspace such that $\Phi(W[[Z]]) \subseteq W[[Z]]$. Then Φ is nuclear on $W[[Z]]$ and $(V/W)[[Z]]$, and*

$$\det_{k[[Z]]}(1 + \Phi \mid V) = \det_{k[[Z]]}(1 + \Phi \mid W) \det_{k[[Z]]}(1 + \Phi \mid V/W).$$

2. *Let Φ and Ψ be nuclear endomorphisms of $V[[Z]]$. Then $(1+\Phi)(1+\Psi)-1$ is nuclear, and*

$$\det_{k[[Z]]}((1 + \Phi)(1 + \Psi) \mid V) = \det_{k[[Z]]}(1 + \Phi \mid V) \det_{k[[Z]]}(1 + \Psi \mid V).$$

Theorem 3.2.

1. *Let φ and ψ be continuous k-linear endomorphisms of V such that φ, $\varphi\psi$ and $\psi\varphi$ are locally contracting. Then*

$$\det_{k[[Z]]}(1 + \varphi\psi Z \mid V) = \det_{k[[Z]]}(1 + \psi\varphi Z \mid V).$$

2. *Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer. Let φ and ψ be continuous k-linear endomorphisms of V such that all compositions φ, $\varphi\psi$, $\psi\varphi$, φ^2, etc. in φ and ψ containing at least one endomorphism φ and at most $N-1$ endomorphisms ψ are locally contracting. Let $\Delta = \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \gamma_n Z^n$ such that*

$$1 + \Delta = \frac{1 - (1 + \varphi)\psi Z}{1 - \psi(1 + \varphi)Z} \mod Z^N.$$

Then Δ is a nuclear endomorphism of $V[[Z]]$ and

$$\det_{k[[Z]]}(1 + \Delta \mid V) = 1 \mod Z^N.$$

3.2 Taelman's trace formula

Let L be a finite extension of K and E be the Anderson module given by

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \phi\colon & R_s & \longrightarrow & M_n(R_{L,s})\{\tau\} \\ & \theta & \longmapsto & \sum_{j=0}^{r} A_j\tau^j \end{array}$$

for some $A_0,\dots,A_r \in M_n(R_{L,s})$ such that $(A_0-\theta I_n)^n = 0$. Let $M_n(R_{L,s})\{\tau\}[[Z]]$ be the ring of formal power series in Z with coefficients in $M_n(R_{L,s})\{\tau\}$, the variable Z being central.

We set

$$\Theta := \sum_{n\geq 1}(\partial_\theta - \phi_\theta)\partial_\theta^{n-1}Z^n \in M_n(R_{L,s})\{\tau\}[[Z]].$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_L$. In $K_{s,\infty}$, the following equality holds:*

$$\frac{[\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s}/\mathfrak{m}R_{L,s})]_{R_s}}{[E(R_{L,s}/\mathfrak{m}R_{L,s})]_{R_s}} = \det_{k_s[[Z]]}\left(1+\Theta \mid (R_{L,s}/\mathfrak{m}R_{L,s})^n\right)^{-1}\mid_{Z=\theta^{-1}}.$$

Proof. It is an easy computation using the definition of Fitting ideal and of Θ. □

Let S be a finite set of places of L containing $S_\infty(L)$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_S$ the ring of regular functions outside S. In particular $\mathcal{O}_L \subseteq \mathcal{O}_S$. Let $R_{S,s}$ be the subring of L_s generated by $\mathcal{O}_S$ and k_s. For example, if $S = S_\infty(L)$, we have $R_{S,s} = R_{L,s}$.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_L$ which is not in S. The natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_L \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S$ induces an isomorphism $R_{L,s}/\mathfrak{p}R_{L,s} \xrightarrow{\sim} R_{S,s}/\mathfrak{p}R_{S,s}$. By the previous lemma, we obtain

$$\frac{[\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s}/\mathfrak{p}R_{L,s})]_{R_s}}{[E(R_{L,s}/\mathfrak{p}R_{L,s})]_{R_s}} = \det_{k_s[[Z]]}\left(1+\Theta \mid (R_{S,s}/\mathfrak{p}R_{S,s})^n\right)^{-1}\mid_{Z=\theta^{-1}}. \tag{3.1}$$

Denote by $L_{s,\mathfrak{p}}$ the $\mathfrak{p}$-adic completion of L_s, *i.e.* the completion of L_s with respect to the valuation $v_\mathfrak{p}$ defined on $L[t_1,\dots,t_s]$ by

$$v_\mathfrak{p}\left(\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_s\in\mathbb{N}} \alpha_{i_1,\dots,i_s}t_1^{i_1}\cdots t_s^{i_s}\right) := \inf_{i_1,\dots,i_s\in\mathbb{N}}\{v_\mathfrak{p}(\alpha_{i_1,\dots,i_s})\},$$

where $v_\mathfrak{p}$ is the normalized $\mathfrak{p}$-adic valuation on L. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_{s,\mathfrak{p}}$ the valuation ring of $L_{s,\mathfrak{p}}$. By the strong approximation theorem, for any $n>0$, there exists $\pi_n \in L$ such that $v_\mathfrak{p}(\pi_n) = -n$ and $v(\pi_n)\geq 0$ for all $v \notin S\cup\mathfrak{p}$. Thus, we have

$$L_{s,\mathfrak{p}} = \mathcal{O}_{s,\mathfrak{p}} + R_{S\cup\{\mathfrak{p}\},s} \quad\text{and}\quad R_{S,s} = \mathcal{O}_{s,\mathfrak{p}} + R_{S\cup\{\mathfrak{p}\},s}. \tag{3.2}$$

Finally, denote by $L_{s,S}$ the product of the completions of L_s with respect to places of S. For example, if $S = S_\infty(L)$, we have $L_{s,S} = L_{s,\infty}$.

Recall that $R_{S,s}$ is a Dedekind domain, discrete in $L_{s,S}$ and such that every open subspace of $L_{s,S}/R_{S,s}$ is of finite co-dimension. Observe also that any element of $M_n(R_{S,s})\{\tau\}$ induces a continuous k_s-linear endomorphism of $(L_{s,S}/R_{S,s})^n$ which is locally contracting. In particular, the endomorphism Θ is a nuclear operator of $(L_{s,S}/R_{S,s})^n[[Z]]$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_L$ which is not in S. Then*

$$\det_{k_s[[Z]]}\left(1+\Theta \mid (R_{S,s}/\mathfrak{p}R_{S,s})^n\right) = \frac{\det_{k_s[[Z]]}\left(1+\Theta \mid \left(\frac{L_{s,S}\times L_{s,\mathfrak{p}}}{R_{S\cup\{\mathfrak{p}\},s}}\right)^n\right)}{\det_{k_s[[Z]]}\left(1+\Theta \mid \left(\frac{L_{s,S}}{R_{S,s}}\right)^n\right)}.$$

Proof. The proof is the same as that of lemma 1 of [10], using equalities (3.2). □

Proposition 3.5. *The following equality holds in $K_{s,\infty}$:*

$$L(E/R_{L,s}) = \det_{k_s[[Z]]}\left(1+\Theta \mid (L_{s,\infty}/R_{L,s})^n\right)|_{Z=\theta^{-1}}\,.$$

In particular, $L(E/R_{L,s})$ converges in $K_{s,\infty}$.

Proof. By lemma 3.3, we have

$$L(E/R_{L,s}) = \prod_{\mathfrak{m}} \det_{k_s[[Z]]}\left(1+\Theta \mid (R_{L,s}/\mathfrak{m}R_{L,s})^n\right)^{-1}|_{Z=\theta^{-1}},$$

where the product runs through maximal ideals of $\mathcal{O}_L$. Fix $S \supseteq S_\infty(L)$ as above (the case $S = S_\infty(L)$ suffices). By equality (3.1), we have

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{m}} \det_{k_s[[Z]]}\left(1+\Theta \mid (R_{L,s}/\mathfrak{m}R_{L,s})^n\right)^{-1} = \prod_{\mathfrak{m}} \det_{k_s[[Z]]}\left(1+\Theta \mid (R_{S,s}/\mathfrak{m}R_{S,s})^n\right)^{-1},$$

where the products run through maximal ideals of $\mathcal{O}_L$ which are not in S.

Define $S_{D,N}$ as in [10]. It suffices to prove that for any $1+F \in S_{D,N}$, the infinite product

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{m}\notin S\setminus S_\infty(L)} \det_{k_s[[Z]]/Z^N}\left(1+F \mid \left(\frac{R_{S,s}}{\mathfrak{m}R_{S,s}}\right)^n\right)$$

converges to

$$\det_{k_s[[Z]]/Z^N}\left(1+F \mid \left(\frac{L_{s,S}}{R_{S,s}}\right)^n\right)^{-1}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{m}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{m}_r$ be the maximal ideals of $\mathcal{O}_L$ which are not in S and such that $\mathfrak{m}_i R_{S,s}$ is a maximal ideal of $R_{S,s}$ verifying $\dim_{k_s} R_{S,s}/\mathfrak{m}_i R_{S,s} < D$. Applying successively lemma 3.4 to $R_{S,s}$, $R_{S\cup\{\mathfrak{m}_1\},s}$, $R_{S\cup\{\mathfrak{m}_1,\mathfrak{m}_2\},s}$, etc., we obtain the following equality:

$$\det_{k_s[[Z]]}\left(1+F \mid \left(\frac{L_{s,S}}{R_{S,s}}\right)^n\right)\prod_{\mathfrak{m}} \det_{k_s[[Z]]}\left(1+F \mid \left(\frac{R_{S,s}}{\mathfrak{m}R_{S,s}}\right)^n\right) =$$
$$\det_{k_s[[Z]]}\left(1+F \mid \left(\frac{L_{s,S}\times L_{s,\mathfrak{m}_1}\times\cdots\times L_{s,\mathfrak{m}_r}}{R_{S\cup\{\mathfrak{m}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{m}_r\},s}}\right)^n\right)\prod_{\mathfrak{m}\neq\mathfrak{m}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{m}_r} \det_{k_s[[Z]]}\left(1+F \mid \left(\frac{R_{S,s}}{\mathfrak{m}R_{S,s}}\right)^n\right).$$

This allows us, replacing $R_{S,s}$ by $R_{S\cup\{\mathfrak{m}_1,\dots,\mathfrak{m}_r\},s}$, to suppose that $R_{S,s}$ has not maximal ideal of the form $\mathfrak{m}R_{S,s}$ with $\mathfrak{m}$ maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_L$ which is not in S such that $\dim_{k_s} R_{S,s}/\mathfrak{m}R_{S,s} < D$. Then, we can finish the proof as in [10]. □

3.3 Ratio of co-volumes

Let V be a finite dimensional $K_{s,\infty}$-vector space and $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on V compatible with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on $K_{s,\infty}$. Let M_1 and M_2 be two R_s-lattices in V and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. A continuous k_s-linear map $\gamma\colon V/M_1 \to V/M_2$ is *N-tangent to the identity* on V if there exists an open k_s-subspace U of V such that

1. $U \cap M_1 = U \cap M_2 = \{0\}$;
2. γ restricts to an isometry between the images of U;

3. for any $u \in U$, we have $\|\gamma(u) - u\| \leq q^{-N}\|u\|$.

The map γ is *infinitely tangent to the identity* on V if it is N-tangent for every positive integer N.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\gamma \in M_n(L_s)\{\{\tau\}\}$ be a power series convergent on $L^n_{s,\infty}$ with constant term equal to 1 and such that $\gamma(M_1) \subseteq M_2$. Then γ is infinitely tangent to the identity on $L^n_{s,\infty}$.*

Proof. See proposition 12 of [10]. □

For example, by proposition 2.3, the map

$$\exp_{\mathrm{E}} \colon \frac{\mathrm{Lie}(E)(L_{s,\infty})}{\exp_{\mathrm{E}}^{-1}(E(R_{L,s}))} \longrightarrow \frac{E(L_{s,\infty})}{E(R_{L,s})}$$

is infinitely tangent to the identity on $L^n_{s,\infty}$.

Now, let H_1 and H_2 two finite dimensional k_s-vector spaces which are also R_s-modules and set $N_i := \frac{V}{M_i} \times H_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. A k_s-linear map $\gamma \colon N_1 \to N_2$ is *N-tangent* (resp. *infinitely tangent*) to the identity on V if the composition

$$\frac{V}{M_1} \hookrightarrow N_1 \xrightarrow{\gamma} N_2 \twoheadrightarrow \frac{V}{M_2}$$

is so. For a k_s-linear isomorphism $\gamma \colon N_1 \to N_2$, we define an endomorphism

$$\Delta_\gamma := \frac{1 - \gamma^{-1}\partial_\theta \gamma Z}{1 - \partial_\theta Z} - 1 = \sum_{i \geq 1} (\partial_\theta - \gamma^{-1}\partial_\theta \gamma)\partial^{n-1} Z^n$$

of $N_1[[Z]]$.

Proposition 3.7. *If γ is infinitely tangent to the identity on V, then Δ_γ is nuclear and*

$$\det_{k_s[[Z]]}(1 + \Delta_\gamma \mid N_1) \mid_{Z=\theta^{-1}} = [M_1 : M_2]_{R_s} \frac{[H_2]_{R_s}}{[H_1]_{R_s}}.$$

Proof. See theorem 4 of [10]. □

3.4 Proof of theorem 2.7

By theorem 3.5, $L(E/R_{L,s})$ converges in $K_{s,\infty}$ and

$$L(E/R_{L,s}) = \det_{k_s[[Z]]}\left(1 + \Theta \mid (L_{s,\infty}/R_{L,s})^n\right) \mid_{Z=\theta^{-1}}.$$

The exponential map $\exp_{\mathrm{E}}$ induces a short exact sequence of R_s-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\mathrm{Lie}(E)(L_{s,\infty})}{\exp_{\mathrm{E}}^{-1}(E(R_{L,s}))} \longrightarrow \frac{E(L_{s,\infty})}{E(R_{L,s})} \longrightarrow H(E/R_{L,s}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By proposition 2.6, the k_s-vector space $H(E/R_{L,s})$ is of finite dimension. Moreover, since the R_s-module on the left is divisible and R_s is principal, the sequence splits. The choice of a section gives rise to an isomorphism of R_s-modules

$$\frac{\mathrm{Lie}(E)(L_{s,\infty})}{\exp_{\mathrm{E}}^{-1}(E(R_{L,s}))} \times H(E/R_{L,s}) \simeq \frac{E(L_{s,\infty})}{E(R_{L,s})}.$$

This isomorphism can be restricted to an isomorphism of k_s-vector space

$$\gamma\colon \frac{\mathrm{Lie}(E)(L_{s,\infty})}{\exp_{\mathrm{E}}^{-1}(E(R_{L,s}))} \times H(E/R_{L,s}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left(\frac{L_{s,\infty}}{R_{L,s}}\right)^n.$$

Observe that γ corresponds with the map induced by $\exp_{\mathrm{E}}$. By proposition 3.6, γ is infinitely tangent to the identity on $L_{s,\infty}^n$. By second point of proposition 2.3 , we have $\exp_{\mathrm{E}} \partial_\theta \exp_{\mathrm{E}}^{-1} = \phi_\theta$, hence the equality of $k_s[[Z]]$-linear endomorphisms of $\left(\frac{L_{s,\infty}}{R_{L,s}}\right)^n[[Z]]$:

$$1 + \Theta = \frac{1 - \gamma\partial_\theta\gamma^{-1}Z}{1 - \partial_\theta Z}.$$

Thus, by theorem 3.7, we obtain

$$\det_{k_s[[Z]]}(1 + \Theta \mid (L_{s,\infty}/R_{L,s})^n) \mid_{Z=\theta^{-1}} = [\mathrm{Lie}(E)(R_{L,s}) : \exp_{\mathrm{E}}^{-1}(E(R_{L,s}))]_{R_s}[H(E/R_{L,s})]_{R_s}.$$

This concludes the proof.

4 Applications

4.1 The n^{th} tensor power of the Carlitz module

Let α be a non-zero element of R_s. Let E_α be the Anderson module defined by the morphism of k_s-algebras $\phi\colon R_s \to M_n(R_s)\{\tau\}$ given by

$$\phi_\theta = \partial_\theta + N_\alpha\tau,$$

where

$$\partial_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \theta & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \theta \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad N_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & & & \vdots \\ \alpha & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other words, if ${}^t(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n$, we have

$$\phi_\theta \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta x_1 + x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \theta x_{n-1} + x_n \\ \theta x_n + \alpha\tau(x_1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The case $\alpha = 1$ is denoted by $\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}$, the n^{th} tensor power of Carlitz module, introduced in [2]. In this section, we show that the exponential map associated to $\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}$ is surjective on $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n$ and we recall its kernel.

4.1.1 Surjectivity and kernel of $\exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}$

By proposition 2.3, there exists a unique exponential map $\exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}$ associated with $\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}$ and by [2, section 2], there exists a unique formal power series

$$\log_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}} = \sum_{i \geq 0} P_i \tau^i \in M_n(\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty})\{\{\tau\}\}$$

such that $P_0 = I_n$ and $\log_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{C}_\theta^{\otimes \mathrm{n}} = \partial_\theta \log_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}$. These two maps are inverses of each other, *i.e.* we have the equality of formal power series

$$\log_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}} \exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}} = \exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}} \log_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}} = I_n.$$

Furthermore, by [2, proposition 2.4.2 and 2.4.3], the series $\exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}(f)$ converges for all $f \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n$ and $\log_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}(f)$ for all $f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n) \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n$ such that $v_\infty(f_i) > n - i - \frac{nq}{q-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For an n-tuple $(r_1, \ldots, r_n)$ of real numbers, we denote by $D_n(r_i, i = 1, \ldots, n)$ the polydisc

$$\left\{ f \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n \mid v_\infty(f_i) > r_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, n \right\}.$$

Proposition 4.1. *The exponential map* $\exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}$ *is surjective on* $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n$.

To prove this, we reduce to the one dimensional case.

Lemma 4.2. *The following assertions are equivalent:*

1. $\exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}$ *is surjective on* $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n$*;*
2. $\mathrm{C}_\theta^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}$ *is surjective on* $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n$*;*
3. $\tau - 1$ *is surjective on* $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$.

Proof. It is easy to show that that (1) implies (2). Indeed, let $y \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n$. By hypothesis, there exists $x \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n$ such that $\exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}(x) = y$. Hence we have

$$\mathrm{C}_\theta^{\otimes \mathrm{n}} \exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}(\partial_\theta^{-1} x) = \exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}(x) = y.$$

Next we prove that (2) implies (3). Since $\mathrm{C}_\theta^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}$ is supposed to be surjective on $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n$, for any $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n$, there exists $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \theta x_1 + x_2 &= y_1 \\ & \vdots \\ \theta x_{n-1} + x_n &= y_{n-1} \\ \theta x_n + \tau(x_1) &= y_n \end{cases}.$$

In particular, we get

$$\tau(x_1) - (-\theta)^n x_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-\theta)^{n-i} y_i. \tag{4.1}$$

Thus $\tau - (-\theta)^n$ is surjective on $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$. But we have

$$\tau\left((-\theta)^{\frac{n}{q-1}}\right) = (-\theta)^n (-\theta)^{\frac{n}{q-1}},$$

hence $\tau - 1$ is also surjective on $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$.

In fact, it is also easy to check that (3) implies (2). As in the previous case, the surjectivity of $\tau - (-\theta)^n$ is deduced from the surjectivity of $\tau - 1$. Hence, for a fixed $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n$, there exists $x_1 \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$ verifying equation (4.1). Then, by back-substitution, we find successively $x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$ such that $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ satisfies $\mathrm{C}_\theta^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}(x) = y$.

We finally prove that (2) implies (1). Since $\log_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}$ converges on the polydisc $D_n(n - i - \frac{nq}{q-1}, i = 1, \ldots, n)$ and $\exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}} \log_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}$ is the identity map on it, this polydisc is included in the image of

the exponential. We will "grow" this polydisc to show that $\exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}$ is surjective. For $i = 1, \dots, n$, we define

$$r_{0,i} := n - i - \frac{nq}{q-1} = -i - \frac{n}{q-1},$$

and for $k \geq 1$,

$$r_{k+1,i} = \begin{cases} r_{k,i+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ q r_{k,1} & \text{if } i = n \end{cases}.$$

By induction, we prove that for any integer $k \geq 0$ and any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$r_{k,i+1} \leq r_{k,i} - 1. \tag{4.2}$$

We also prove that for any integer $k \geq 0$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we have $r_{k,i} \leq r_{0,i} - k$. In particular, for any $1 \leq i \leq n$, the sequence $(r_{k,i})$ tends to $-\infty$, *i.e.* the polydiscs $D_n(r_{k,i}, i = 1, \dots, n)$ cover $\mathbb{C}^n_{s,\infty}$. Thus, it suffices to show that $D_n(r_{k,i}, i = 1, \dots, n) \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}$ for any integer $k \geq 0$.

The case $k = 0$, corresponding to the convergence domain of $\log_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}$, is already known. Let us suppose that $D_n(r_{k,i}, i = 1, \dots, n)$ is included in the image of $\exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}$ for an integer $k \geq 0$. Let y be an element of $D_n(r_{k+1,i}, i = 1, \dots, n) \setminus D_n(r_{k,i}, i = 1, \dots, n)$.

We claim that there exists $x \in D_n(r_{k,i}, i = 1, \dots, n)$ such that $\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}_\theta(x) = y$.

Assume temporally this. Since $D_n(r_{k,i}, i = 1, \dots, n) \subseteq \operatorname{Im} \exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}$, there exists $z \in \mathbb{C}^n_{s,\infty}$ such that $\exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}(z) = x$. Thus

$$\exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}(\partial_\theta z) = \mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}_\theta \exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}(z) = \mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}_\theta(x) = y.$$

In particular y is in the image of the exponential as expected.

It only remains to prove the claim. By hypothesis, there exists $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n_{s,\infty}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} x_2 & = & y_1 - \theta x_1 \\ & \vdots & \\ x_n & = & y_{n-1} - \theta x_n \\ \tau(x_1) - (-\theta)^n x_1 & = & \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-\theta)^{n-i} y_i \end{cases}.$$

We need to show that x is in $D_n(r_{k,i}, i = 1, \dots, n)$. Let begin by showing $v_\infty(x_1) > r_{k,1}$. If $v_\infty(x_1) = \frac{-n}{q-1}$, then $v_\infty(x_1) > r_{0,1} > r_{k,1}$. So we may suppose that $v_\infty(x_1) \neq \frac{-n}{q-1}$. Then

$$v_\infty(\tau(x_1) - (-\theta)^n x_1) = \min(q v_\infty(x_1) \ ; \ v_\infty(x_1) - n).$$

In particular,

$$q v_\infty(x_1) \geq v_\infty\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (-\theta)^{n-i} y_i\right) \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (v_\infty(y_i) - n + i) > \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} (r_{k+1,i} - n + i),$$

where the last inequality comes from the fact that y is in $D_n(r_{k+1,i}, i = 1, \dots, n)$. But, by the inequality (4.2), we have

$$r_{k+1,n} \leq r_{k+1,n-1} - 1 \leq \cdots \leq r_{k+1,1} - n + 1.$$

Hence we get

$$q v_\infty(x_1) > r_{k+1,n} = q r_{k,1},$$

as desired.

Finally, we show that $v_\infty(x_i) > r_{k,i}$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n$. Since $y \in D_n(r_{k+1,i}, i = 1, \ldots, n)$, we have

$$v_\infty(x_2) \geq \min(v_\infty(y_1) \ ; \ v_\infty(x_1) - 1) > \min(r_{k+1,1} \ ; \ r_{k,1} - 1) = r_{k,2},$$

where the last equality comes from the definition of r_{k+1} and from inequality (4.2). On the same way, we obtain the others needed inequalities. □

Lemma 4.3. *The application $\tau - 1 \colon \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty} \to \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$ is surjective.*

Proof. Since $\sum_{i \geq 0} \tau^i(x)$ converges for $x \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$ such that $v_\infty(x) > 0$, we have

$$\{x \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty} \mid v_\infty(x) > 0\} \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(\tau - 1).$$

Thus, since $\mathbb{C}_\infty(t_1, \ldots, t_s)$ is dense in $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$, it suffices to show that $\mathbb{C}_\infty(t_1, \ldots, t_s) \subseteq (\tau - 1)(\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty})$. Observe that $(\tau - 1)(\mathbb{C}_\infty[t_1, \ldots, t_s]) = \mathbb{C}_\infty[t_1, \ldots, t_s]$. Now let $f \in \mathbb{C}_\infty(t_1, \ldots, t_s)$. We can write

$$f = \frac{g}{h} \quad \text{with } g, h \in \mathbb{C}_\infty[t_1, \ldots, t_s] \text{ and } v_\infty(h) = 0.$$

Now write $h = \delta - z$ with $\delta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[t_1, \ldots, t_s] \setminus \{0\}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}_\infty[t_1, \ldots, t_s]$ such that $v_\infty(z) > 0$. Then, in $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$, we have

$$f = \frac{g}{h} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{g z^k}{\delta^{k+1}}.$$

On the one hand, since the series converges, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$v_\infty \left(\sum_{k \geq k_0} \frac{g z^k}{\delta^{k+1}} \right) > 0.$$

In particular, this sum is in the image of $\tau - 1$. On the other hand, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{k_0 - 1} \frac{g z^k}{\delta^{k+1}} \in \frac{1}{\delta^{k_0}} \mathbb{C}_\infty[t_1, \ldots, t_s].$$

But we can write $\frac{1}{\delta^{k_0}} = \frac{\beta}{\gamma}$ with $\beta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[t_1, \ldots, t_s]$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q[t_1, \ldots, t_s] \setminus \{0\}$. Hence

$$\sum_{k=0}^{k_0 - 1} \frac{g z^k}{\delta^{k+1}} \in \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbb{C}_\infty[t_1, \ldots, t_s] \subseteq (\tau - 1) \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbb{C}_\infty[t_1, \ldots, t_s] \right).$$

Thus, by linearity of $\tau - 1$, we get $f \in (\tau - 1)(\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty})$. □

Denote by Λ_n the kernel of the morphism of R_s-modules

$$\exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}} \colon \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}})(\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}(\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}).$$

Proposition 4.4. *The R_s-module Λ_n is free of rank 1 and is generated by a vector with $\tilde{\pi}^n$ as last coordinate.*

Proof. See [2, section 2.5]. □

4.1.2 Characterization of Anderson modules isomorphic to $\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}$

We characterize Anderson modules which are isomorphic, in a sense described below, to the n^{th} tensor power of the Carlitz module. We obtain an n-dimensional analogue of proposition 6.2 of [4].

Definition. Two Anderson modules E and E' are *isomorphic* if there exists a matrix $P \in \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty})$ such that $E_\theta P = P E'_\theta$ in $M_n(\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty})\{\tau\}$.

Let $\alpha \in R_s$. Denote by E_α the Anderson module defined at the beginning of section 4.1. Note that E_α and $\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists a matrix $P \in \mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty})$ such that

$$\partial_\theta P = P\partial_\theta \quad \text{and} \quad N_1\tau(P) = PN_\alpha. \tag{4.3}$$

Let us set

$$\mathcal{U}_s := \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^* \mid \exists \beta \in \mathbb{C}_\infty^*, \gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(t_1, \dots, t_s), v_\infty\left(\alpha - \beta\frac{\tau(\gamma)}{\gamma}\right) > v_\infty(\alpha) \right\}.$$

Lemma 4.5. *The map which associates to any element x of $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^*$ the element $\frac{\tau(x)}{x}$ of $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^*$ induces a short exact sequence of multiplicative groups*

$$1 \longrightarrow k_s^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_s \longrightarrow 1.$$

Proof. The kernel comes from lemma 2.2.

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^*$ such that there exists $x \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^*$ verifying $\tau(x) = \alpha x$. Since $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ is an algebraically closed field, one can suppose that $v_\infty(\alpha) = 0$. We write $x = \gamma + m$ with $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(t_1, \dots, t_s)$ and $m \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^*$ such that $v_\infty(m) > 0$. Then, we have $v_\infty(\tau(\gamma) - \alpha\gamma) > 0$, *i.e.* $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_s$.

Reciprocally, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_s$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}_\infty^*$, $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(t_1, \dots, t_s)$ such that

$$v_\infty\left(\alpha - \beta\frac{\tau(\gamma)}{\gamma}\right) > v_\infty(\alpha).$$

We set $\delta := \beta\frac{\tau(\gamma)}{\gamma}$. Observe that $\prod_{i\geq 0} \frac{\tau^i(\delta)}{\tau^i(\alpha)}$ converges in $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^*$. Now, since τ is k_s-linear, there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}_\infty^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(t_1, \dots, t_s)$ such that $\tau(\varepsilon) = \delta$. Then, we set

$$\omega_\alpha := \varepsilon \prod_{i\geq 0} \frac{\tau^i(\delta)}{\tau^i(\alpha)} \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^*. \tag{4.4}$$

Thus, we have $\tau(\omega_\alpha) = \alpha\omega_\alpha$. Observe that ω_α is defined up to a scalar factor in $\mathbb{F}_q^*$ whereas it depends *a priori* on the choices of β, γ and ε. □

We are now able to characterize Anderson modules which are isomorphic to $\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}$.

Proposition 4.6. *The following assertion are equivalent:*

1. *E_α is isomorphic to $\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}$,*
2. *$\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_s$,*
3. *$\exp_\alpha$ is surjective,*
4. *$\ker \exp_\alpha$ is a free R_s-module of rank 1,*

where $\exp_\alpha$ *is the exponential map associated with* E_α *by proposition 2.3.*

Proof. Setting $P = \omega_\alpha I_n$ where ω_α is defined by (4.4) , we see that (2) implies (1).

We prove that (1) implies (3). Let $P \in \mathrm{GL_n}(\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty})$ such that $\mathrm{C}_\theta^{\otimes \mathrm{n}} P = P E_\theta$. Using equalities (4.3), we check that

$$P^{-1} \exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}} P \partial_\theta = E_\theta P^{-1} \exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}} P.$$

Thus, by unicity in proposition 2.3, we get $P^{-1} \exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}} P = \exp_\alpha$. In particular, by proposition 4.1, we deduce that $\exp_\alpha$ is surjective.

Next, we prove that (3) implies (2). We can assume that $v_\infty(\alpha) = 0$. By lemma 4.5, it suffices to show that $\ker(\alpha\tau - 1)$ is not trivial. Let us suppose the converse. As at the beginning of the proof of lemma 4.2, we easily show that the surjectivity of $\exp_\alpha$ on $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n$ implies that of $\alpha\tau - 1$ on $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$. Thus, $\alpha\tau - 1$ is an automorphism of the k_s-vector space $\mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$. We verify that $v_\infty(f) = 0$ if and only if $v_\infty(\alpha\tau(f) - f) = 0$. Let $\overline{\alpha} \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(t_1, \ldots, t_s)$ such that $v_\infty(\alpha - \overline{\alpha}) > 0$. Then, $\overline{\alpha}\tau - 1$ is an automorphism of the k_s-vector space $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(t_1, \ldots, t_s)$, which is obviously false.

It is easy to show that (1) implies (4). Indeed, since E_α is isomorphic to $\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}$, we have

$$\ker \exp_\alpha = \frac{1}{\omega_\alpha} \ker \exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}.$$

Thus, by proposition 4.4, $\ker \exp_\alpha$ is a free R_s-module of rank 1 generated by a vector with $\frac{\tilde{\pi}^n}{\omega_\alpha}$ as last coordinate.

Finally, we prove that (4) implies (2). Let f be a non zero element of $\ker \exp_\alpha$ such that $\partial_\theta^{-1} f \notin \ker \exp_\alpha$. Thus, the vector $g := \exp_\alpha(\partial_\theta^{-1} f) \in \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}^n$ is non zero and $E_\theta(g) = 0$. Denote by $g_1, \ldots, g_n$ its coordinates. We have

$$\begin{cases} \theta g_1 + g_2 &= 0 \\ &\vdots \\ \theta g_{n-1} + g_n &= 0 \\ \theta g_n + \alpha\tau(g_1) &= 0 \end{cases}.$$

As $g \neq 0$, we deduce that $g_i \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Summing, we obtain $\alpha\tau(g_1) - (-\theta)^n g_1 = 0$. Thus

$$\alpha\tau\left((-\theta)^{\frac{-n}{q-1}} g_1\right) = (-\theta)^{\frac{-n}{q-1}} g_1.$$

We conclude, by lemma 4.5, that $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_s$. □

Example. Looking at the degree in t_1, we easily show that $t_1 \notin \mathcal{U}_s$. So E_{t_1} is not isomorphic to $\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}$ and $\exp_{t_1}$ is not surjective.

4.2 Pellarin's L-functions

Let $\alpha \in R_s \setminus \{0\}$ and E_α be the Anderson module defined at the beginning of section 4.1. By theorem 2.7, we have a class formula for

$$L(E_\alpha/R_s) := \prod_{\substack{P \in A \\ \text{prime}}} \frac{[\mathrm{Lie}(E_\alpha)(R_s/PR_s)]_{R_s}}{[E_\alpha(R_s/PR_s)]_{R_s}}.$$

We compute the R_s-module structure of $\mathrm{Lie}(E_\alpha)(R_s/PR_s)$ and $E_\alpha(R_s/PR_s)$. Then, we show that we recover special values of Pellarin's L-functions if we take $\alpha = (t_1 - \theta) \cdots (t_s - \theta)$.

4.2.1 Fitting ideal of $\mathrm{Lie}(E_\alpha)(R_s/PR_s)$

Let us recall some facts about hyperdifferential operators. For more details, we refer the reader to [6].

Let $j \geq 0$ be an integer. The j^{th} *hyperdifferential operator* D_j is the k_s-linear endomorphism of R_s given by $D_j(\theta^k) = \binom{k}{j}\theta^{k-j}$ for $k \geq 0$. For any $f, g \in R_s$, we have the Leibnitz rule

$$D_j(fg) = \sum_{k=0}^{j} D_k(f)D_{j-k}(g).$$

Lemma 4.7. *For any $a \in R_s$, we have*

$$\partial(a)\begin{pmatrix}0\\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}D_{n-1}(a)\\ \vdots \\ D_1(a) \\ a\end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By linearity, it suffices to prove the equality for $a = \theta^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The action of $\partial(\theta^k)$ is the left multiplication by

$$\begin{pmatrix}\theta & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \theta\end{pmatrix}^k = \left(\theta I_n + \begin{pmatrix}0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & 0\end{pmatrix}\right)^k = \sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{k}{i}\theta^{k-i}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & 0\end{pmatrix}^i,$$

hence the result comes from the definition of hyperdifferential operators. □

Lemma 4.8. *Let P be a prime of A and m a positive integer. Then $\partial(P^m)$ is zero modulo P if and only if m is greater than or equal to n.*

Proof. By the previous lemma, it suffices to show that for any $k \geq 0$, the congruence $D_k(P^m) = 0 \mod P$ holds if and only if $m \geq k+1$. The case $k = 0$ being obvious, let us suppose the result for an integer k. By the Leibnitz rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{k+1}(P^m) &= \sum_{i+j=k+1} D_i(P^{m-1})D_j(P) \\ &= PD_{k+1}(P^{m-1}) + D_1(P)D_k(P^{m-1}) + \cdots + D_{k+1}(P)P^{m-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which is zero modulo P if $m \geq k+2$. Reciprocally, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} D_{k+1}(P^{k+1}) &= PD_{k+1}(P^k) + D_1(P)D_k(P^k) + D_2(P)D_{k-1}(P^k) + \cdots + D_{k+1}(P)P^k \\ &= D_1(P)D_k(P^k) \mod P \end{aligned}$$

which is non zero modulo P by hypothesis. □

Thanks to this lemma, we can compute the first Fitting ideal.

Proposition 4.9. *Let P be a prime of A. The R_s-module $\mathrm{Lie}(E_\alpha)(R_s/PR_s)$ is isomorphic to R_s/P^nR_s and is generated by the residue class of ${}^t(0, \ldots, 0, 1)$.*

Proof. By definition, $\mathrm{Lie}(E_\alpha)(R_s/PR_s)$ is the k_s-vector space $(R_s/PR_s)^n$ equipped with the R_s-module structure given by ∂. This R_s-module is finitely generated and, since $\partial(P^{q^n}) = P^{q^n} I_n$ by lemma 2.4, the polynomial P^{q^n} annihilates it. Since R_s is principal, by the structure theorem, there exists integers $e_1 \leq \cdots \leq e_m$ such that

$$\mathrm{Lie}(E_\alpha)(R_s/PR_s) \simeq \frac{R_s}{P^{e_1}R_s} \times \cdots \times \frac{R_s}{P^{e_m}R_s}.$$

Since $\mathrm{Lie}(E_\alpha)(R_s/PR_s)$ is a k_s-vector space of dimension $n \deg P$, we have $e_1 + \cdots + e_m = n$. But, by the previous lemma, the residue class of ${}^t(0, \ldots, 0, 1)$ is not annihilated by P^{n-1}, hence $e_m \geq n$. Thus, $\mathrm{Lie}(E_\alpha)(R_s/PR_s)$ is cyclic and generated by the residue class of this vector. □

4.2.2 Fitting ideal of $E_\alpha(R_s/PR_s)$

Let P be a prime of A and denote its degree by d. We consider $R := R_s/PR_s$ and $E_\alpha(R)$ the R_s-module R^n where the action of R_s is given by ϕ, as defined at the beginning of section 4.1.

For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we denote by $e_i \colon \mathbb{C}^n_{s,\infty} \to \mathbb{C}_{s,\infty}$ the projection on the i^{th} coordinate. By analogy with [2], we define the R_s-module

$$W_n(R) := \left\{ w \in R((t^{-1}))/R[t] \mid \alpha\tau(w) = (t-\theta)^n w \mod R[t] \right\},$$

where $\tau(w) = \sum \tau(r_i)t^i$ if $w = \sum r_i t^i \in R((t^{-1}))$.

Proposition 4.10. *The map*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \psi\colon & E_\alpha(R) & \longrightarrow & R((t^{-1}))/R[t] \\ & c & \longmapsto & -\displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e_1 \phi_{\theta^{i-1}}(c) t^{-i} \end{array}$$

induces an isomorphism of R_s-modules between $E_\alpha(R)$ and $W_n(R)$.

Proof. See proposition 1.5.1 of [2]. □

Observe that for any $c \in E_\alpha(R)$, we have $\psi(\phi_\theta(c)) = t\phi_\theta(c) \mod R[t]$. Moreover, since it is a k_s-vector space of dimension nd, $W_n(R)$ is a finitely generated and torsion $k_s[t]$-module.

For $w \in W_n(R)$, applying $d-1$ times $\alpha\tau$ to the relation $\alpha\tau(w) = (t-\theta)^n w$, we get

$$\alpha\tau(\alpha)\cdots\tau^{d-1}(\alpha)\tau^d(w) = \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \left(t - \theta^{q^i}\right)^n w.$$

But $\tau^d(w) = w$ in $W_n(R)$ and $\prod_{i=0}^{d-1}(t-\theta^{q^i}) = P(t) \mod R[t]$ where $P(t)$ denotes the polynomial in t obtained substituting t form θ in P. Thus we obtain

$$P^n(t) - \alpha\tau(\alpha)\cdots\tau^{d-1}(\alpha) = 0 \text{ in } W_n(R). \tag{4.5}$$

Since we have the isomorphism

$$\frac{R_s}{PR_s} \simeq \frac{A}{PA} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} k_s,$$

for any $x \in R_s$, there exists a unique $y \in k_s$ such that $x\tau(x)\cdots\tau^{d-1}(x) = y \mod PR_s$. We denote by $\rho_\alpha(P)$ the element of k_s such that $\rho_\alpha(P) = \alpha\tau(\alpha)\cdots\tau^{d-1}(\alpha) \mod PR_s$. Note that, since P is prime, $\rho_\alpha(P) = 0 \mod P$ if and only if P divides α in R_s. Then, by (4.5), we deduce that $W_n(R)$ is annihilated by $P^n(t) - \rho_\alpha(P)$, or equivalently

$$E_\alpha(R) \subseteq \ker \phi_{P^n - \rho_\alpha(P)} = \left\{ x \in R^n \mid \phi_{P^n-\rho_\alpha(P)}(x) = 0 \right\}. \tag{4.6}$$

Lemma 4.11. *For any $a \in k_s[t]$ prime to $P(t) := P_{|_{\theta=t}}$, the k_s-vector space $W_n(R)[a]$ of a-torsion points of $W_n(R)$ is of dimension at most $\deg_t a$.*

Proof. By definition, we have

$$W_n(R)[a] = \left\{ w \in \frac{1}{a}R[t]/R[t] \mid \alpha\tau(w) = (t-\theta)^n w \mod R[t] \right\} \subseteq R((t^{-1}))/R[t].$$

Let $w \in W_n(R)[a]$. Since the t^i/a for $i \in \{0, \dots, \deg a - 1\}$ form an R-basis of $\frac{1}{a}R[t]/R[t]$, we can write

$$w = \sum_{i=0}^{\deg a - 1} \lambda_i \frac{t^i}{a},$$

where the λ_i are in R. Using the binomial formula and writing t^j/a for $j \geq \deg a$ in the above basis, the functional equation verified by w becomes

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\deg a - 1} \alpha\tau(\lambda_i)\frac{t^i}{a} = \sum_{i=0}^{\deg a - 1} \sum_j b_{i,j}\lambda_j \frac{t^i}{a},$$

where the $b_{i,j}$ are in R. Identifying the two sides, we obtain $\tau(\Lambda) = B\Lambda$ where Λ is the vector ${}^t(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{\deg a - 1})$ and B is the matrix of $M_{\deg a}(R)$ with coefficients $b_{i,j}/\alpha$.

But the k_s-vector space $V := \left\{ X \in R^{\deg a} \mid \tau(X) = BX \right\}$ is of dimension at most $\deg a$. Indeed, observe that, if $v_1, \dots, v_m$ are vectors of $R^{\deg a}$ such that $\tau(v_i) = Bv_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, linearly independent over R, there are also linearly independent over $R^\tau = k_s$ (by induction on m, see [12, lemma 1.7]). □

Proposition 4.12. *Let P be a prime of A. We have the isomorphism of R_s-modules*

$$E_\alpha(R) \simeq \frac{R_s}{(P^n - \rho_\alpha(P))R_s}.$$

Proof. Observe that if P divides α, we have $\rho_\alpha(P) = 0$ and the isomorphism of R_s-modules $\mathrm{Lie}(E_\alpha)(R) \simeq E_\alpha(R)$. Then, the result is the same as in proposition 4.9.

Hence, let us suppose that α and P are coprime. The k_s-vector space $E_\alpha(R)$ is of dimension nd. We deduce from lemma 4.11 that $E_\alpha(R)$ is a cyclic R_s-module, *i.e.*

$$E_\alpha(R) \simeq \frac{R_s}{fR_s},$$

for some monic element f of R_s of degree nd. On the other hand, by the inclusion (4.6), $E_\alpha(R)$ is annihilated by $P^n - \rho_\alpha(P)$ thus f divides $P^n - \rho_\alpha(P)$. Since these two polynomials are monic and have the same degree, they are equal. □

4.2.3 L-values

Let a be a monic polynomial of A and $a = P_1^{e_1} \cdots P_r^{e_r}$ be its decomposition into a product of primes. Then, we define

$$\rho_\alpha(a) := \prod_{i=1}^{r} \rho_\alpha(P_i)^{e_i}.$$

By propositions 4.9 and 4.12, we get

$$L(E_\alpha/R_s) = \prod_{\substack{P\in A\\ \text{prime}}} \frac{[\mathrm{Lie}(E_\alpha)(R_s/PR_s)]_{R_s}}{[E_\alpha(R_s/PR_s)]_{R_s}} = \prod_{\substack{P\in A\\ \text{prime}}} \frac{P^n}{P^n-\rho_\alpha(P)} = \sum_{a\in A_+} \frac{\rho_\alpha(a)}{a^n} \in K_{s,\infty}.$$

As in [4, section 4.1], observe that for any prime P of A, $\rho_\alpha(P)$ is the resultant of P and α seen as polynomials in θ. In particular, if $\alpha = (t_1-\theta)\cdots(t_s-\theta)$, we obtain $\rho_\alpha(P) = P(t_1)\cdots P(t_s)$. Thus, by theorem 2.7, we get a class formula for L-values introduced in [9]:

$$L(\chi_{t_1}\cdots\chi_{t_s}, n) = \sum_{a\in A_+} \frac{\chi_{t_1}(a)\cdots\chi_{t_s}(a)}{a^n} = [\mathrm{Lie}(E_\alpha)(R_s) : \exp_{\mathrm{E}}^{-1}(E_\alpha(R_s))]_{R_s}[H(E_\alpha/R_s)]_{R_s},$$

where $\chi_{t_i}\colon A\to \mathbb{F}_q[t_1,\dots,t_s]$ are the ring homomorphisms defined respectively by $\chi_{t_i}(\theta) = t_i$.

4.3 Goss abelian L-series

This section is inspired by [5].

Let $a\in A_+$ be squarefree and L be the cyclotomic field associated with a, *i.e.* the finite extension of K generated by the a-torsion of the Carlitz module. We denote by Δ_a the Galois group of this extension, it is isomorphic to $(A/aA)^\times$.

Note that $A[\Delta_a] = \prod_i F_i[\theta]$ for some finite extensions F_i of $\mathbb{F}_q$. In particular, $A[\Delta_a]$ is a principal ideal domain and Fitting ideals are defined as usual. If M is a finite $A[\Delta_a]$-module, we denote by $[M]_{A[\Delta_a]}$ the unique generator f of $\mathrm{Fitt}_{A[\Delta_a]} M$ such that each component $f_i\in F_i[\theta]$ of f is monic.

We denote by $\widehat{\Delta}_a$ the group of characters of Δ_a, *i.e.* $\widehat{\Delta}_a = \mathrm{Hom}(\Delta_a, \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^\times)$. For $\chi\in\widehat{\Delta}_a$, we denote by $\mathbb{F}_q(\chi)$ the finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$ generated by the values of χ and we set

$$e_\chi := \frac{1}{\#\Delta_a}\sum_{\sigma\in\Delta_a} \chi^{-1}(\sigma)\sigma \in \mathbb{F}_q(\chi)[\Delta_a].$$

Then e_χ is idempotent and $\sigma e_\chi = \chi(\sigma)e_\chi$ for every $\sigma\in\Delta_a$.

Let F be the finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_q$ generated by the values of all characters, *i.e.* F is the compositum of all $\mathbb{F}_q(\chi)$ for $\chi\in\widehat{\Delta}_a$. If M is an $A[\Delta_a]$-module, we have the decomposition into χ-components

$$F\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} M = \bigoplus_{\chi\in\widehat{\Delta}_a} e_\chi\left(F\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} M\right).$$

Let V be a free $K_\infty[\Delta_a]$-module of rank n. A sub-$A[\Delta_a]$-module M of V is a *lattice* of V if M is free of rank one and $K_\infty[\Delta_a]\cdot M = V$. Let M be a lattice of V and $\chi\in\widehat{\Delta}_a$. Then $M(\chi) := e_\chi\left(\mathbb{F}_q(\chi)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} M\right)$ is a free $A(\chi)$-module of rank n, discrete in $V(\chi) := e_\chi\left(\mathbb{F}_q(\chi)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} V\right)$, where $A(\chi) := \mathbb{F}_q(\chi)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} A$. Now let M_1 and M_2 be two lattices of V. For each $\chi\in\widehat{\Delta}_a$, there exists $\sigma_\chi\in\mathrm{GL}(V(\chi))$ such that $\sigma_\chi(M_1(\chi)) = M_2(\chi)$. Then, we define $[M_1(\chi):M_2(\chi)]_{A(\chi)}$ to be the unique monic representative of $\det\sigma_\chi$ in $K_\infty(\chi) := \mathbb{F}_q(\chi)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} K_\infty$. Finally, we set

$$[M_1 : M_2]_{A[\Delta_a]} := \sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\Delta}_a} [M_1(\chi):M_2(\chi)]_{A(\chi)} e_\chi \in K_\infty[\Delta_a]^\times.$$

4.3.1 Gauss-Thakur sums

We review some basic facts on Gauss-Thakur sums, introduced in [11] and generalized in [3].

We begin with the case of only one prime. Let P be a prime of A of degree d and $\zeta_P \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ such that $P(\zeta_P) = 0$. We denote by Λ_P the P-torsion of the Carlitz module and let λ_P be a non zero element of Λ_P. We consider the cyclotomic extension $K_P := K(\Lambda_P) = K(\lambda_P)$ and we denote its Galois group by Δ_P. We have $\Delta_P \simeq (A/PA)^\times$. More precisely, if $b \in (A/PA)^\times$, the corresponding element $\sigma_b \in \Delta_P$ is uniquely determined by $\sigma_b(\lambda_P) = C_b(\lambda_P)$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{K_P}$ the integral closure of A in K_P. We have $\mathcal{O}_{K_P} = A[\lambda_P]$.

We define the *Teichmüller character*

$$\omega_P : \begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_P & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{F}_{q^d}^* \\ \sigma_b & \longmapsto & b(\zeta_P) \end{array},$$

where σ_b is the unique element of Δ_P such that $\sigma_b(\lambda_P) = C_b(\lambda_P)$. Let $\chi \in \widehat{\Delta}_P$. Since the Teichmüller character generates $\widehat{\Delta}_P$, there exists $j \in \{0, \dots, q^d - 2\}$ such that $\chi = \omega_P^j$. We expand $j = j_0 + j_1 q + \cdots + j_{d-1} q^{d-1}$ in base q ($j_0, \dots, j_{d-1} \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$). Then, the *Gauss-Thakur sum* (see [11]) associated with χ is defined as

$$g(\chi) := \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \left(- \sum_{\delta \in \Delta_P} \omega_P^{-q^i}(\delta) \delta(\lambda_P) \right)^{j_i} \in \mathbb{F}_q(\chi) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathcal{O}_{K_P}.$$

We compute the action of $\tau = 1 \otimes \tau$ on these Gauss-Thakur sums (see [11, proof of Theorem II]). Let $1 \leq j \leq d-1$. Since by the Carlitz action $\sigma_\theta \sigma_b(\lambda_P) = \theta \sigma_b(\lambda_P) + \tau(\sigma_b(\lambda_P))$, we have

$$\tau\left(g(\omega_P^{q^j})\right) = - \sum_{\sigma_b \in \Delta_P} \omega_P^{q^j}(\sigma_b) \left(\sigma_b \sigma_\theta(\lambda_P) - \theta \sigma_b(\lambda_P)\right)$$

Then, by substitution, we get

$$\tau\left(g(\omega_P^{q^j})\right) = \left(\zeta_P^{q^j} - \theta\right) g(\omega_P^{q^j}). \tag{4.7}$$

Now, we return to the general case. Since a is squarefree, we can write $a = P_1 \cdots P_r$ with $P_1, \dots, P_r$ distinct primes of respective degrees $d_1, \dots, d_r$. Since $\widehat{\Delta}_a \simeq \widehat{\Delta}_{P_1} \times \cdots \times \widehat{\Delta}_{P_r}$, for every character $\chi \in \widehat{\Delta}_a$, we have

$$\chi = \omega_{P_1}^{N_1} \cdots \omega_{P_r}^{N_r}, \tag{4.8}$$

for some integers $0 \leq N_i \leq q^{d_i} - 2$ and where ω_{P_i} is the Teichmüller character associated with P_i. The product $f_\chi := \prod_{N_i \neq 0} P_i$ is the *conductor* of χ. Then, the Gauss-Thakur sum (see [3, section 2.3]) associated with χ is defined as

$$g(\chi) := \prod_{i=1}^{r} g(\omega_{P_i}^{N_i}) \in F \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathcal{O}_L,$$

or equivalently

$$g(\chi) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j=0}^{d_i - 1} g(\omega_{P_i}^{q^j})^{N_{i,j}},$$

where the $N_{i,j}$ are the q-adic digits of N_i. By equality (4.7), we obtain

$$\tau\left(g(\chi)\right) = \underbrace{\prod_{i=1}^{r}\prod_{j=0}^{d_i-1}\left(\zeta_{P_i}^{q^j}-\theta\right)^{N_{i,j}}}_{\alpha(\chi)} g(\chi). \tag{4.9}$$

Lemma 4.13. *The ring $\mathcal{O}_L$ is a free $A[\Delta_a]$-module of rank one generated by $\eta_a := \sum_{\chi\in\widehat{\Delta}_a} g(\chi)$.*

Proof. See lemma 16 of [3]. □

4.3.2 The Frobenius action on the χ-components

Recall that L is the extension of K generated by the a-torsion of the Carlitz module. Let $L_\infty := L\otimes_K K_\infty$ on which τ acts diagonally and Δ_a acts on L. As in section 2.2, we have a morphism of $A[\Delta_a]$-modules

$$\exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}:\ \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}})(L_\infty)\longrightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}(L_\infty).$$

Let $\chi\in\widehat{\Delta}_a$. We get an induced map

$$\exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}: e_\chi\left(\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}})(\mathbb{F}_q(\chi)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} L_\infty)\right)\longrightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}\left(e_\chi(\mathbb{F}_q(\chi)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} L_\infty)\right),$$

where the action of τ on $\mathbb{F}_q(\chi)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} L_\infty$ is on the second component. But, by lemma 4.13, we have

$$e_\chi(\mathbb{F}_q(\chi)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} L_\infty) = g(\chi)K_\infty(\chi),$$

where $K_\infty(\chi) := \mathbb{F}_q(\chi)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} K_\infty$.

We have the obvious isomorphism of modules over $A(\chi) := \mathbb{F}_q(\chi)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} A$

$$g(\chi)K_\infty(\chi)\xrightarrow{\sim} K_\infty(\chi),$$

where the action on the right hand side is denoted by $\tilde{\tau}$ and given by $\tilde{\tau}(f) = \alpha(\chi)(1\otimes\tau)(f)$ for any $f\in K_\infty(\chi)$, where $\alpha(\chi)$ is defined by equality 4.9. In particular, this isomorphism maps $\mathrm{C}_\theta^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}$ into $\partial_\theta + N_1\tilde{\tau} = \partial_\theta + N_{\alpha(\chi)}\tau$ with notation of section 4.1 and $\exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}$ into $\exp_{\alpha(\chi)}$. Thus, by lemma 4.13, we have the isomorphism of $A(\chi)$-modules

$$e_\chi\left(\mathbb{F}_q(\chi)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} H(\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}/\mathcal{O}_L)\right)\simeq \frac{E_{\alpha(\chi)}(K_\infty(\chi))}{\exp_{\alpha(\chi)}\left(\mathrm{Lie}(E_{\alpha(\chi)})(K_\infty(\chi))\right)+E_{\alpha(\chi)}(A(\chi))}.$$

We denote the right hand side by $H\left(E_{\alpha(\chi)}/A(\chi)\right)$. Note that we have also

$$e_\chi\left(\mathbb{F}_q(\chi)\otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q}\exp^{-1}_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}(\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}(\mathcal{O}_L))\right) = \exp^{-1}_{\alpha(\chi)}\left(E_{\alpha(\chi)}(A(\chi))\right).$$

4.3.3 L-values

Let $\chi\in\widehat{\Delta}_a$ and denote its conductor by f_χ. Recall that the special value at $n\geq 1$ of Goss L-series (see [8, chapter 8]) associated with χ is defined by

$$L(n,\chi) := \sum_{b\in A_+}\frac{\chi(\sigma_b)}{b^n}\in K_\infty(\chi),$$

where the sum runs over the elements $b \in A_+$ relatively prime to f_χ. If $b \in A_+$ and f_χ are not coprime, we set $\chi(\sigma_b) = 0$. Then, define the Goss abelian L-series

$$L(n, \Delta_a) := \sum_{\chi \in \widehat{\Delta}_a} L(n, \chi) e_\chi \in K_\infty[\Delta_a]^\times.$$

Lemma 4.14. *The infinite product*

$$\prod_{\substack{P \in A \\ prime}} \frac{[\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}})(\mathcal{O}_L/P\mathcal{O}_L)]_{A[\Delta_a]}}{[\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}(\mathcal{O}_L/P\mathcal{O}_L)]_{A[\Delta_a]}}$$

converges in $K_\infty[\Delta_a]$ to $L(n, \Delta_a)$.

Proof. On the one hand, for all $\chi \in \widehat{\Delta}_a$, we have

$$L(n, \chi) = \prod_{\substack{P \in A \\ \text{prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{\chi(\sigma_P)}{P^n}\right)^{-1},$$

where $\chi(\sigma_P) = 0$ if P divides f_χ. On the other hand, let $\chi \in \widehat{\Delta}_a$. We write $\chi = \omega_{P_1}^{N_1} \cdots \omega_{P_r}^{N_r}$ as in equality (4.8) and denote by $N_{i,j}$ the q-adic digits of N_i. Then, as in section 4.2.2, we can prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[E_{\alpha(\chi)}(A(\chi)/PA(\chi))\right]_{A(\chi)} &= P^n - \prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j=0}^{d_i - 1} P\left(\zeta_{P_i}^{q^j}\right)^{N_{i,j}} \\ &= P^n - \prod_{i=1}^{r} P(\zeta_{P_i})^{N_i} \\ &= P^n - \chi(\sigma_P). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$L(n, \chi) = \prod_{\substack{P \in A \\ \text{prime}}} \frac{\left[\mathrm{Lie}(E_{\alpha(\chi)})(A(\chi)/PA(\chi))\right]_{A(\chi)}}{\left[E_{\alpha(\chi)}(A(\chi)/PA(\chi))\right]_{A(\chi)}}$$

Hence, we get the result by the discussion of section 4.3.2 and definition of $L(n, \Delta_a)$. □

Finally, we obtain a generalization of theorem A of [5]:

Theorem 4.15. *Let $a \in A_+$ be squarefree and denote by L the extension of K generated by the a-torsion of the Carlitz module. In $K_\infty[\Delta_a]$, we have*

$$L(n, \Delta_a) = \left[\mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}})(\mathcal{O}_L) : \exp_{\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}}^{-1}(\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}(\mathcal{O}_L))\right]_{A[\Delta_a]} \left[H(\mathrm{C}^{\otimes \mathrm{n}}/\mathcal{O}_L)\right]_{A[\Delta_a]}.$$

Proof. By the previous lemma, $L(n, \Delta_a)$ is expressed in terms of Anderson module and Fitting. Then, as in proposition 3.5, we express $L(n, \Delta_a)$ as a determinant. The proof is similar but we deal with the χ-components $e_\chi(\mathbb{F}_q(\chi) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathcal{O}_L)$ for all $\chi \in \widehat{\Delta}_a$. Then, since $A[\Delta_a]$ is principal, we conclude as in section 3.4. We refer to [5, paragraph 6.4] for more details. □

References

[1] Greg W. Anderson, *t-motives*, Duke Math. J. **53** (1986), no. 2, 457–502.

[2] Greg W. Anderson and Dinesh S. Thakur, *Tensor powers of the Carlitz module and zeta values*, Ann. of Math. (2) **132** (1990), no. 1, 159–191.

[3] Bruno Anglès and Federico Pellarin, *Functional identities for L-series values in positive characteristic*, J. Number Theory **142** (2014), 223–251.

[4] Bruno Anglès, Federico Pellarin, and Floric Tavares Ribeiro, *Arithmetic of positive characteristic L-series values in Tate algebras*, Preprint (2014), arXiv:1402.0120.

[5] Bruno Anglès and Lenny Taelman, *Arithmetic of characteristic p special L-values*, Preprint (2012), arXiv:1205.2794.

[6] Keith Conrad, *The digit principle*, J. Number Theory **84** (2000), no. 2, 230–257.

[7] Jiangxue Fang, *Special L-values of abelian t-modules*, To appear in J. Number Theory (2015), arXiv:1401.1293.

[8] David Goss, *Basic structures of function field arithmetic*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.

[9] Federico Pellarin, *Values of certain L-series in positive characteristic*, Ann. of Math. (2) **176** (2012), no. 3, 2055–2093.

[10] Lenny Taelman, *Special L-values of Drinfeld modules*, Ann. of Math. (2) **175** (2012), no. 1, 369–391.

[11] Dinesh S. Thakur, *Gauss sums for* $\mathbf{F}_q[T]$, Invent. Math. **94** (1988), no. 1, 105–112.

[12] Marius van der Put and Michael F. Singer, *Galois theory of linear differential equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

LMNO, CNRS UMR 6139, Université de Caen, 14032 Caen cedex, France
E-mail address : florent.demeslay@unicaen.fr